

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/772,538		01/29/2001	Cornelius Frommel	ABOHM1.001CP1	CP1 9231	
20995	7590	06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE 2040 MAIN		NS OLSON & BEA	BORIN, MICHAEL L			
FOURTEE				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1631		
IRVINE, C	A 92614					
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisom, Action	09/772,538	FROMMEL ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Borin	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandment whicles.	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	-	-
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai 704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	IS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet			

Michael Borin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for consideration is based on the filing of English-language translation of the foreign priority document. The translation of the foreign priority document has not been filed in a timely fashion. There is no sufficient reason why the translation has not been filed earlier. The prosecution of the application is closed; consideration of the filed document will require new search and new grounds of rejection.